

MOODY'S

INVESTORS SERVICE

New Issue: Moody's assigns Aa3 to Florida International Univ. \$27M 2015A Dorm. Rev. Ref. Bonds; outlook stable

Global Credit Research - 30 Apr 2015

\$238M pro-forma rated debt, including privatized student housing

FLORIDA INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY, FL
Public Colleges & Universities
FL

Moody's Rating

ISSUE	RATING
Dormitory Revenue Refunding Bonds Series 2015A	Aa3
Sale Amount \$27,125,000	
Expected Sale Date 05/05/15	
Rating Description Revenue: Public University Limited Pledge	

Moody's Outlook STA

NEW YORK, April 30, 2015 --Moody's Investors Service assigns a Aa3 rating to Florida International University's proposed \$27.1 million of Series 2015A Dormitory Revenue Refunding Bonds (maturing in 2034) issued through the Florida State Board of Governors. The outlook is stable.

SUMMARY RATING RATIONALE

The assignment of the Aa3 rating reflects FIU's favorable market position as a large and growing comprehensive public university. The limited revenue pledge for the dormitory bonds is strengthened by the strategic importance of the university's residential life program, consistently strong occupancy rates, and solid debt service coverage.

The Aa3 rating additionally incorporates manageable financial leverage relative to financial reserves and revenue; prudent management team yielding generally balanced operations; and relatively healthy and improved operating support from the State of Florida (Aa1 stable).

Offsetting credit factors include increasing competition for students; pressure to limit increases on all student-related charges coupled with state-imposed in-state tuition freezes; and relatively high exposure to state and federal funding.

OUTLOOK

The stable outlook reflects the expectation that FIU will continue to prudently manage its growth plans, capital investment will be measured and the auxiliary systems will remain profitable with adequate debt service coverage from pledged revenues.

WHAT COULD MAKE THE RATING GO UP

- Material growth in financial resources
- Increased liquidity relative to expenses while strengthening operating performance
- Continued improvement in the university's market position and revenue diversity

WHAT COULD MAKE THE RATING GO DOWN

- Sustained weakening of debt service coverage from pledged revenues

-Decline in liquid financial reserves

-Weakening of operating performance, particularly if accompanied by large increases in debt

STRENGTHS

-Large, comprehensive public university benefits from a demographically vibrant region

-Growth has been well-managed by a seasoned leadership team employing effective short and long range planning

-Continued growth of total financial resources, up nearly 40% since FY 2010 to \$513 million in FY 2014

-Manageable debt burden, including a privatized student housing project, with pro-forma debt to operating revenue of 0.3 times

-Dormitory system has good debt service coverage from pledged revenues of 1.4 times projected for FY 2015 and solid reserves

CHALLENGES

-Increasingly competitive student market with other universities and colleges

-Ongoing capital needs to accommodate enrollment growth and to remain competitive could translate into increased leverage in light of reduced state capital support

-State-imposed tuition freezes and limitations on fee increases tempers the ability to grow revenue

-Meaningful reliance on revenue from state and federal sources including sponsored research, grant funding, and financial aid programs at both levels of government

RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

FIU has partnered with a private developer to construct a nine-story 410-bed dormitory on its Biscayne Bay Campus (BBC). While FIU is not directly obligated to make debt service payments on the \$56 million of Industrial Development Revenue Bonds, (NCCD - Biscayne Properties LLC Project) Series 2015A and 2015B (taxable) bonds, the university has a strategic interest in the project as it will be the only university housing on the BBC, is located in the middle of campus, and is integral to FIU's growth plans.

FIU continues to move forward with expansion plans on 64 acres of adjoining parkland, but faces some opposition from Miami-Dade's Youth Fair, the current occupant of the land. The university intends to use the additional land for academic and research space, especially promoting its STEM programs that align with the state's economic development goals.

DETAILED RATING RATIONALE

MARKET POSITION: LARGE COMPREHENSIVE PUBLIC UNIVERSITY POISED FOR GROWTH

FIU's location in a demographically, culturally and economically vibrant city has supported sustained growth in undergraduate education, graduate and professional programs, and sponsored research over a relatively short period of time since the university's founding in 1973. Strong demand and careful planning has resulted in an average annual enrollment growth of 5.6% over five years, climbing to 38,097 full-time equivalent (FTE) students for fall 2014. We expect measured growth given favorable regional demographics, strong pricing power, and improving retention rates. Though FIU is in an enviable position relative to regional universities with weaker demographics, increasing geographic diversity outside of the state would be credit positive given current state limitations on tuition increases for resident undergraduates.

FIU's sponsored research enterprise will continue to augment its academic reputation and economic impact. Prioritized by the strategic plan, research areas of focus include the environment, globalization and health. Research awards continue to increase annually with management projecting a nominal increase to \$115 million in FY 2015. This trend is unusual given increased competition for new federal awards. Federal agencies provide roughly three-quarters of its awards.

OPERATING PERFORMANCE, BALANCE SHEET, AND CAPITAL PLANS: BALANCED OPERATIONS GENERATED DURING A PERIOD OF ONGOING EXPANSION AND ENROLLMENT GROWTH

FIU will continue to generate at least balanced operating performance given our expectation of continued growth combined with prudent fiscal oversight. In FY 2014, FIU generated an operating surplus of 0.7% and an 8.6% cash flow margin provided 3.9 times overall debt service coverage. Tight expenditure controls while managing growth will remain essential to the university's operating performance given some moderate pressure on revenue growth due to political restrictions on tuition increases.

FIU remains well-positioned under the state's performance based funding formula given the alignment of strategic priorities with those of the state. For FY 2016, FIU again received the third highest score in the performance based model and is expecting another increase in operating funds over the \$215 million received in FY 2015. Recent years of increases come after several years of volatile funding.

Expendable financial resources of \$314 million, including resources of the fundraising foundation cover pro-forma debt and operations 1.1 times and 0.4 times, respectively, in FY 2014. We expect continued financial reserve growth given planned improvement in operating performance and fundraising efforts. FIU is in a comprehensive fundraising campaign with a goal of raising \$750 million by 2020. At the end of February 2015, FIU had raised \$256 million.

Even with the potential campus expansion, we expect leverage will remain manageable as FIU plans to utilize diverse funding sources for capital development. Management reports no significant near-term debt funded capital plans. FIU's last debt-funded project was for a new parking garage, completed in December 2014.

Liquidity

FIU has adequate monthly liquidity of \$231 million, providing 119 days cash on hand, especially in light of limited potential calls on liquidity due to an all fixed rate debt structure, lack of debt-related derivatives, history of sound cash flow performance and limited unfunded capital commitments. Liquidity remains lower than a previous peak of \$255 million in FY 2011 resulting from declines in state operating support that has not returned to prior levels.

DEBT AND OTHER LIABILITIES: MANAGEABLE FINANCIAL LEVERAGE EVEN WHEN INCLUDING PRIVATIZED STUDENT HOUSING DEBT

FIU has a manageable debt burden, including \$56 million of debt from the privatized student housing project that is currently being constructed on the BBC. Total pro-forma debt to operating revenue is a low 0.3 times. Favorably, most debt-funded projects are for housing or parking and are revenue generating, which should continue to provide debt capacity.

Bond-issuing authority is retained by the state's Division of Bond Finance, but universities can borrow through affiliated foundations and direct support organizations (DSOs) outside the Division.

Debt Structure

All of the university's bonded debt is fixed rate and amortizing, but it is exposed to variable rate debt through two of its DSOs, the FIU Foundation and FIU Athletics Finance Corporation. Variable rate debt (before swaps) comprises approximately 17%, or \$38 million, of FIU's total debt.

Debt-Related Derivatives

The FIU Foundation and FIU Athletics Finance Corporation have entered into swap agreements with two different counterparties to hedge the interest rate on variable rate debt. Neither of the swaps have collateral posting requirements or rating triggers for the respective DSOs or FIU.

Pensions and OPEB

FIU's pension and OPEB costs are moderate, comprising approximately 3.5% of operating expenses. Statutory contributions can be volatile and have more than doubled for the university over the past three years.

Most university employees participate in the Florida Retirement System (FRS), which is comprised of two multi-employer, defined benefit cost-sharing plans. The State of Florida establishes contribution rates for participating employers and employees. The State University System also provides a defined-contribution plan for eligible instructors and administrators.

MANAGEMENT AND GOVERNANCE: MEASURED APPROACH MANAGING GROWTH

FIU senior leadership's near- and long-term planning positions the university for continued enrollment growth and ability to elevate its research enterprise. While the university benefits from its location in a region with healthy population growth, management is forward looking to also focus on fostering strong partnerships with the local community.

FIU is a member of the State University System, which is overseen by a statewide Board of Governors (BOG) comprised of seventeen members, fourteen of whom are appointed by the governor for staggered, seven year terms. Each university is directly governed by its own Board of Trustees, consisting of thirteen members, with six appointed by the governor and five appointed by the BOG for staggered five year terms. While FIU is an autonomous state-supported public institution, the legislature largely controls the tuition-setting power. The university, however, has the power to set certain student service fees, such as those for the dormitory and parking systems.

KEY STATISTICS (FY 2014 financial data, fall 2014 enrollment data)

- Total Full-Time Equivalent Enrollment: 38,097 students
- Total Financial Resources: \$513 million
- Pro Forma Direct Debt: \$274 million (includes \$56 million of P3 debt)
- Operating Revenue: \$768 million
- Monthly Days Cash on Hand: 119 days
- Operating Cash Flow Margin: 8.6%
- Debt Service Coverage: 3.9 times
- Reliance on Tuition and Auxiliaries Revenue (% of Moody's-adjusted Operating Revenue): 51%
- State of Florida rating: Aa1 stable

OBLIGOR PROFILE

Established in 1972, FIU is a comprehensive public research university with headcount enrollment of approximately 54,000 students across multiple campuses in the Miami metropolitan area. FIU is designated as a Hispanic-Serving Institution.

LEGAL SECURITY

The dormitory bonds are secured by and payable from pledged revenues of the Housing System, which includes all fees, rentals or other charges and income from the Housing System facilities, net of Current and Administrative Expenses.

For FY 2014, pledged revenues of \$14.8 million covered annual debt service and MADS each at 1.52 times. Debt service coverage is projected to be 1.42 times in FY 2015.

USE OF PROCEEDS

Proceeds will be used to refund all or a portion of the Series 2004A Housing Facility Revenue Bonds and to pay issuance costs.

PRINCIPAL METHODOLOGY

The principal methodology used in this rating was U.S. Not-for-Profit Private and Public Higher Education published in August 2011. Please see the Credit Policy page on www.moody.com for a copy of this methodology.

REGULATORY DISCLOSURES

For ratings issued on a program, series or category/class of debt, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to each rating of a subsequently issued bond or note of the same series or category/class

of debt or pursuant to a program for which the ratings are derived exclusively from existing ratings in accordance with Moody's rating practices. For ratings issued on a support provider, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the rating action on the support provider and in relation to each particular rating action for securities that derive their credit ratings from the support provider's credit rating. For provisional ratings, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the provisional rating assigned, and in relation to a definitive rating that may be assigned subsequent to the final issuance of the debt, in each case where the transaction structure and terms have not changed prior to the assignment of the definitive rating in a manner that would have affected the rating. For further information please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page for the respective issuer on www.moodys.com.

Regulatory disclosures contained in this press release apply to the credit rating and, if applicable, the related rating outlook or rating review.

Please see www.moodys.com for any updates on changes to the lead rating analyst and to the Moody's legal entity that has issued the rating.

Please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on www.moodys.com for additional regulatory disclosures for each credit rating.

Analysts

Erin V. Ortiz
Lead Analyst
Public Finance Group
Moody's Investors Service

Dennis M. Gephardt
Additional Contact
Public Finance Group
Moody's Investors Service

Contacts

Journalists: (212) 553-0376
Research Clients: (212) 553-1653

Moody's Investors Service, Inc.
250 Greenwich Street
New York, NY 10007
USA

MOODY'S
INVESTORS SERVICE

© 2015 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. AND ITS RATINGS AFFILIATES ("MIS") ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ("MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS") MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT

RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO CONSIDER MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS IN MAKING ANY INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody's Publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moody's.com under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

For Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services

License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail clients. It would be dangerous for "retail clients" to make any investment decision based on MOODY'S credit rating. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.

For Japan only: MOODY'S Japan K.K. ("MJKK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MOODY'S Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJKK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJKK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY200,000 to approximately JPY350,000,000. MJKK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.