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THE UNIVERSITY HAS APPROXIMATELY $123 MILLION OF RATED DEBT OUTSTANDING INCLUDING THE CURRENT OFFERING

New York, October 31, 2011 --

Moody’s Rating

Issue: Dormitory Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2011A; Rating: Aa3; Sale Amount: $22,875,000; Expected Sale Date: 11/07/2011; Rating Description: Public Higher Education Revenue

Opinion

Moody’s Investors Service has assigned a Aa3 rating to Florida International University’s ("FIU") $22.9 million of fixed-rated Series 2011A Dormitory Revenue Refunding Bonds and has affirmed the University’s Aa3 rating on the parking revenue bonds. Please refer to the RATED DEBT section of this report for a detail of the rated debt outstanding. The rating outlook is stable.

SUMMARY RATING RATIONALE

The Aa3 rating reflects FIU’s healthy market position, which contributes to strong demand for the auxiliary systems, as well as favorable operating performance, growing expendable financial resources, and relatively low leverage to a large operating base. Offsetting factors include significant dependence on the state, which has historically provided both operating and capital support that is not expected to continue due to state funding pressures. The Aa3 rating also reflects security pledges of specific revenue streams for the University’s dormitory and parking auxiliary systems, which also have adequate debt service coverage from the pledged revenues, as well as solid reserves, rather than a broader general obligation pledge of the University’s revenues.

STRENGTHS

Strong student demand and healthy market position for this large, comprehensive, public university with multiple campuses enrolling 33,155 FTE students in fall 2011 across campuses in and around Miami, Florida. FIU aims to grow its graduate student population, while keeping undergraduate enrollment relatively stable.

Notable growth in financial reserves with expendable financial resources of $221 million, providing an adequate cushion to pro-forma debt at 0.9 times and for operations at 0.4 times in FY 2010 with healthy growth based on unaudited FY 2011 financials.

Healthy operating performance with a three-year average operating margin of 4.0% and pledged revenues providing adequate debt service coverage and strong performance at the auxiliary facilities, securing the debt.

CHALLENGES

Heavy reliance on state funding with appropriations comprising 37% of Moody’s adjusted operating revenue, in addition to healthy levels of capital support which is not expected to reach prior levels, which leaves the University vulnerable to state funding cuts.

Additional borrowing plans for another dormitory and parking garage scheduled for calendar year 2012 with the University expecting to issue approximately $81 million.

Outlook
The stable outlook is based on Moody’s expectations that the University will maintain positive operating performance, continue to grow financial resources, and maintain manageable debt plans. The stable outlook also reflects the University’s strong market position, growth of net tuition revenue, and that pledged net revenues will provide adequate debt service coverage.

WHAT COULD MAKE THE RATING GO UP

Significant growth of financial resources that provides a healthier cushion to debt; diversification of revenue sources and enhanced fundraising; substantial increase in operating revenue coupled with improved credit profile of the state

WHAT COULD MAKE THE RATING GO DOWN

Significant additional borrowing absent growth of financial resources and pledged net revenues; downgrade of State’s credit rating or further significant reductions in state support that adversely impacts University operations; deterioration of market position

PRINCIPAL RATING METHODOLOGY

The principal methodology used in this rating was U.S. Not-for-Profit Private and Public Higher Education published in August 2011. Please see the Credit Policy page on www.moodys.com for a copy of this methodology.

REGULATORY DISCLOSURES

The Global Scale Credit Ratings on this press release that are issued by one of Moody’s affiliates outside the EU are considered EU Qualified by Extension and therefore available for regulatory use in the EU. Further information on the EU endorsement status and on the Moody’s office that has issued a particular Credit Rating is available on www.moodys.com.

For ratings issued on a program, series or category/class of debt, this announcement provides relevant regulatory disclosures in relation to each rating of a subsequently issued bond or note of the same series or category/class of debt or pursuant to a program for which the ratings are derived exclusively from existing ratings in accordance with Moody’s rating practices. For ratings issued on a support provider, this announcement provides relevant regulatory disclosures in relation to the rating action on the support provider and in relation to each particular rating action for securities that derive their credit ratings from the support provider's credit rating. For provisional ratings, this announcement provides relevant regulatory disclosures in relation to the provisional rating assigned, and in relation to a definitive rating that may be assigned subsequent to the final issuance of the debt, in each case where the transaction structure and terms have not changed prior to the assignment of the definitive rating in a manner that would have affected the rating. For further information please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page for the respective issuer on www.moodys.com.

Information sources used to prepare the rating are the following: parties involved in the ratings, parties not involved in the ratings, public information and confidential and proprietary Moody’s Investors Service’s information.

Moody’s considers the quality of information available on the rated entity, obligation or credit satisfactory for the purposes of issuing a rating.

Moody’s adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a rating is of sufficient quality and from sources Moody’s considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, Moody’s is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process.

Please see the ratings disclosure page on www.moodys.com for general disclosure on potential conflicts of interests.

Please see the ratings disclosure page on www.moodys.com for information on (A) MCO’s major shareholders (above 5%) and for (B) further information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities as well as (C) the names of entities that hold ratings from MIS that have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%. A member of the board of directors of this rated entity may also be a member of the board of directors of a shareholder of Moody’s Corporation; however, Moody’s has not independently verified this matter.

Please see Moody’s Rating Symbols and Definitions on the Rating Process page on www.moodys.com for further
information on the meaning of each rating category and the definition of default and recovery.

Please see ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on www.moodys.com for the last rating action and the rating history.

The date on which some ratings were first released goes back to a time before Moody's ratings were fully digitized and accurate data may not be available. Consequently, Moody's provides a date that it believes is the most reliable and accurate based on the information that is available to it. Please see the ratings disclosure page on our website www.moodys.com for further information.

Please see www.moodys.com for any updates on changes to the lead rating analyst and to the Moody's legal entity that has issued the rating.
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